

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/033,142

Applicant(s)

GULICK, DALE E.

Examiner

Sumati Lefkowitz

Art Unit

2112

All Participants:(1) Sumati Lefkowitz.(2) Mark W. Sincell (#52,226).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-47

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicant's representative agreed to elect Group I of a 2-way restriction requirement, as detailed in the accompanying examiner's amendment.***Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)